arXiv:1609.07163v1 [math.FA] 22 Sep 2016

Fixed point results for a new mapping related to mean nonexpansive mappings

Torrey M. Gallagher

September 26, 2016

Abstract

Mean nonexpansive mappings were first introduced in 2007 by Goebel and Japon Pineda and advances have been made by several authors toward understanding their fixed point properties in various contexts. For any given (α_1, α_2)-nonexpansive mapping T of a Banach space, many of the positive results have been derived from properties of the mapping $T_\alpha = \alpha_1 T + \alpha_2 T^2 = (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T) \circ T$ which is nonexpansive. However, the related mapping $T \circ (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T)$ has not yet been studied. In this paper, we investigate some fixed point properties of this new mapping and discuss relationships between $(\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T) \circ T$ and $T \circ (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T)$.

1 Introduction

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, and C a nonempty subset of X. A function $T : C \to X$ is called *nonexpansive* if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in C.$$

It is a well-known application of Banach's Contraction Mapping Principle that every nonexpansive mapping $T : C \to C$ (where C is closed, bounded, and convex) has an *approximate fixed point sequence* $(x_n)_n$ in C. That is, $(x_n)_n$ is a sequence for which $\|Tx_n - x_n\| \to 0$. The question of when nonexpansive maps have fixed points is much more difficult, however. We say a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has *the fixed point property for nonexpansive maps* if, for every closed, bounded, convex subset $C \neq \emptyset$ of X, every nonexpansive map $T : C \to C$ has a fixed point (that is, a point $x \in C$ for which $Tx = x$). For a thorough introduction and survey of the history and results of metric fixed point theory, see [6, 7].

In this paper, we will be discussing the fixed point properties of a new mapping associated with the class of so-called "mean nonexpansive maps," which were introduced in 2007 by Goebel and Japón Pineda [4]. Recent research in this area has proven to be fruitful, and the interested reader should see [8] for a nearly complete survey of known results.

Specifically, we show in Theorems 3.2 and 3.2 that this new mapping must have an approximate fixed point sequence and, in certain contexts, fixed points. From this, we obtain a new proof of Theorem 2.1, which is originally due to Goebel and Japón Pineda and provides a sufficient condition to guarantee the existence of a fixed point for a mean nonexpansive mapping. We conclude with an open question about the new mapping defined herein.

2 Preliminaries

A function $T : C \to C$ is called *mean nonexpansive* (or α-*nonexpansive*) if, for some $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k = 1$, $\alpha_k \geq 0$ for all k, and $\alpha_1, \alpha_n > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \left\| T^k x - T^k y \right\| \leq \|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in C.$$

It is clear that all nonexpansive mappings are mean nonexpansive, but the converse is not true. That is, there exist mean nonexpansive mappings for which no iterate is nonexpansive (see Examples 2.2 and 2.3).

Goebel and Japón Pineda further suggested the class of (α, p)-nonexpansive maps. A function $T : C \to C$ is called (α, p)-*nonexpansive* if, for some $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k = 1$, $\alpha_k \geq 0$ for all k, $\alpha_1, \alpha_n > 0$, and for some $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \left\| T^k x - T^k y \right\|^p \leq \|x - y\|^p, \text{ for all } x, y \in C.$$

For simplicity, we will generally discuss the case when $n = 2$. That is, $T : C \to C$ is $((\alpha_1, \alpha_2), p)$-*nonexpansive* if for some $p \in [1, \infty)$, we have

$$\alpha_1 \|Tx - Ty\|^p + \alpha_2 \left\|T^2 x - T^2 y\right\|^p \leq \|x - y\|^p, \text{ for all } x, y \in C.$$

When $p = 1$, we will say T is (α_1, α_2)-nonexpansive. When the multi-index α is not specified, we say T is *mean nonexpansive*.

It is easy to check that every (α, p)-nonexpansive map for $p > 1$ is also α-nonexpansive, but the converse does not hold; that is, there is a mapping which is α-nonexpansive that is not (α, p)-nonexpansive for any $p > 1$ (see [8] for details). It is also easy to see that, by the triangle inequality, the mapping $T_\alpha := \alpha_1 T + \alpha_2 T^2$ is nonexpansive if T is (α_1, α_2)-nonexpansive. As noted in [4], however, the nonexpansiveness of T_α is significantly weaker than the nonexpansiveness of T. For example, T_α being nonexpansive does not even guarantee continuity of T, let alone any positive fixed point results [8, Examples 3.5 and 3.6]. When T is mean nonexpansive, Goebel and Japón Pineda (and later Piasecki [8, Theorems 8.1 and 8.2]) were able to use the nonexpansiveness of T_α to prove some intriguing results about T, as summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Goebel and Japón Pineda, Piasecki)**.** *Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space and $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, convex, and $T : C \to C$ is $((\alpha_1, \alpha_2), p)$-nonexpansive for some $p \geq 1$. Then T has an*

approximate fixed point sequence, provided that $\alpha_2^p \leq \alpha_1$ *(note that for* $p = 1$*, this inequality reduces to* $\alpha_1 \geq 1/2$*). Furthermore, if* $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ *has the fixed point property for nonexpansive maps, then* T *has a fixed point if* $\alpha_2^p \leq \alpha_1$*.*

Note that $T_\alpha = \alpha_1 T + \alpha_2 T^2$, and so we may write $T_\alpha = (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T) \circ T$, where I denotes the identity mapping. In the following, we will study properties of a related mapping given by $\tau_\alpha := T \circ (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T)$. To the present author's knowledge, this mapping has not been studied in the literature. Clearly if T is linear (or, more generally, affine), then $(\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T) \circ T = T \circ (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T)$. This is not true in general, as shown in Example 2.2.

It should be noted that, just as with T_α, nonexpansiveness of τ_α is not enough to even guarantee continuity of T, as the following example demonstrates.

Example 2.1. Let $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be given by

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x = 0 \\ 0 & x \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Clearly f is discontinuous. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be arbitrary such that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$. Then

$$\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 f(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 & x = 0 \\ \alpha_1 x & x \neq 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \alpha_2 & x = 0 \\ \alpha_1 x & x \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

But then $\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 f(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in [0,1]$, and thus $f(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 f(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in [0,1]$ and $f \circ (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 f)$ is nonexpansive.

We now give an example, taken from [5] (see also [8, Example 3.3]), of a mean nonexpansive mapping defined on a closed, bounded, convex subset of a Banach space for which none of its iterates are nonexpansive. This example will also demonstrate that T_α is generally not equal to τ_α.

Example 2.2. Let $(\ell^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ be the Banach space of absolutely summable sequences endowed with its usual norm. Let B_{ℓ^1} denote the (closed) unit ball of ℓ^1. Then let $T : B_{\ell^1} \to B_{\ell^1}$ be given by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots) := \left(\tau(x_2),\, \frac{2}{3}x_3,\, x_4,\, \cdots\right),$$

where $\tau : [-1,1] \to [-1,1]$ is given by

$$\tau(t) := \begin{cases} 2t + 1 & -1 \leq t \leq -1/2 \\ 0 & -1/2 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ 2t - 1 & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check (see [8] for more details) that $T(B_{\ell^1}) \subseteq B_{\ell^1}$, T is not $\|\cdot\|_1$-nonexpansive, but T is mean nonexpansive for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1/2$. That is, there exist two points $x, y \in B_{\ell^1}$ for which

$$\|Tx - Ty\|_1 > \|x - y\|_1,$$

but it is true that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\|Tx-Ty\right\|_1+\frac{1}{2}\left\|T^2x-T^2y\right\|_1\leq\left\|x-y\right\|_1$$

for all $x,y\in B_{\ell^1}$.

Then, for any x,

$$\frac{1}{2}x+\frac{1}{2}Tx=\left(\frac{1}{2}x_1+\frac{1}{2}\tau(x_2),\ \frac{1}{2}x_2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}x_3\right),\ \frac{1}{2}x_3+\frac{1}{2}x_4,\ \ldots\right)$$

and

$$T\circ\left(\frac{1}{2}I+\frac{1}{2}T\right)(x)=\left(\tau\left(\frac{1}{2}x_2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}x_3\right)\right),\ \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}x_3+\frac{1}{2}x_4\right),\ \frac{1}{2}x_4+\frac{1}{2}x_5,\ \ldots\right).$$

So, for instance, for $e_3=(0,0,1,0,0,\ldots)$

$$T\left(\frac{1}{2}e_3+\frac{1}{2}Te_3\right)=\left(\tau\left(\frac{1}{3}\right),\ \frac{1}{3},0,0,\ldots\right)=\left(0,\frac{1}{3},0,0,\ldots\right)$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}T_\alpha e_3&=\frac{1}{2}Te_3+\frac{1}{2}T^2e_3\\&=\frac{1}{2}\left(0,\frac{2}{3},0,0,\ldots\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau\left(\frac{2}{3}\right),0,0,0,\ldots\right)\\&=\left(\frac{1}{6},\ \frac{1}{3},0,0,\ldots\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $T\circ\left(\frac{1}{2}I+\frac{1}{2}T\right)\neq\left(\frac{1}{2}I+\frac{1}{2}T\right)\circ T$.

Since we will also be discussing (α,p)-nonexpansive maps, we give an example here to demonstrate that this class of mappings is also nontrivial. Adapting the above example slightly gives us a nontrivial example of an (α,p)-nonexpansive mapping on a closed, bounded, convex subset of a Banach space. In particular, we have an example of a $((1/2,1/2),2)$-nonexpansive mapping defined on the unit ball of ℓ^2, the Hilbert space of square-summable sequences.

Example 2.3. Let $(\ell^2,\left\|\cdot\right\|_2)$ be the Banach space of square-summable sequences endowed with its usual norm. Let B_{ℓ^2} denote the (closed) unit ball of ℓ^2. Then let $S:B_{\ell^2}\to B_{\ell^2}$ be given by

$$S(x_1,x_2,x_3,\cdots):=\left(\sigma(x_2),\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\ x_3,\ x_4,\ \cdots\right),$$

where $\sigma:[-1,1]\to[-1,1]$ is given by

$$\sigma(t):=\begin{cases}\sqrt{2}t+(\sqrt{2}-1) & -1\leq t\leq -t_0\\ 0 & -t_0\leq t\leq t_0\\ \sqrt{2}t-(\sqrt{2}-1) & t_0\leq t\leq 1,\end{cases}$$

where $t_0=(\sqrt{2}-1)/\sqrt{2}$.

It is easy to check that $S(B_{\ell^2})\subseteq B_{\ell^2}$, S is not $\left\|\cdot\right\|_2$-nonexpansive, but S is mean nonexpansive for $\alpha_1=\alpha_2=1/2$ and $p=2$. That is, there exist two points $x,y\in B_{\ell^2}$ for which

$$\left\|Sx-Sy\right\|_2>\left\|x-y\right\|_2,$$

but it is true that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|Sx - Sy\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left\|S^2x - S^2y\right\|_2^2 \leq \|x - y\|_2^2$$

for all $x, y \in B_{\ell^2}$.

Finally, the present author showed in [2] that, given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and an (α, p)-nonexpansive map $T : C \to C$, the mapping $\widetilde{T} : C^n \to C^n$ is nonexpansive when restricted to the diagonal of C^n (i.e. $D := \{(x, x, \ldots, x) : x \in C\}$) when X^n is equipped with the norm

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\|_{\alpha,p} := (\alpha_1 \|x_1\|^p + \alpha_2 \|x_2\|^p + \cdots + \alpha_n \|x_n\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

That is, for all $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \ldots, x_n, y_n \in C$, we have

$$\left\|\widetilde{T}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) - \widetilde{T}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)\right\|_{\alpha,p} \leq \|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) - (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)\|_{\alpha,p}.$$

This observation was used in particular to establish the so-called "demiclosedness principle" for mean nonexpansive mappings defined on uniformly convex spaces or spaces satisfying Opial's property. We use the nonexpansiveness of $\widetilde{T}$ in the proofs that follow.

3 Results for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, convex, and $T : C \to C$ is (α_1, α_2)-nonexpansive. Then there exist sequences $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ in C for which*

$$\begin{cases} \|T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - x_n\| \to_n 0, & \text{and} \\ \left\|T^2(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - y_n\right\| \to_n 0. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

In particular, we can deduce

$$\begin{cases} \|Tx_n - y_n\| \to_n 0, & \text{and} \\ \|T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 T x_n) - x_n\| \to_n 0. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

In other words, $(x_n)_n$ is an approximate fixed point sequence for $\tau_\alpha := T \circ (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T)$.

Proof. Consider the space $(X^2, \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$, where

$$\|(x, y)\|_\alpha := \alpha_1 \|x\| + \alpha_2 \|y\|,$$

and the mapping $\widetilde{T} : C^2 \to C^2$ given by

$$\widetilde{T}(x, y) := (Tx,\ T^2 y).$$

Let $D := \{(x, x) : x \in C\} \subset C$. Then, using the fact that T is (α_1, α_2)-nonexpansive; i.e.

$$\alpha_1 \|Tx - Ty\| + \alpha_2 \left\|T^2 x - T^2 y\right\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in C$, we see that $\widetilde{T}\big|_D : D \to C^2$ is nonexpansive:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\widetilde{T}(x,x) - \widetilde{T}(y,y)\right\|_\alpha &= \left\|(Tx - Ty,\ T^2x - T^2y)\right\|_\alpha \\ &= \alpha_1 \|Tx - Ty\| + \alpha_2 \left\|T^2x - T^2y\right\| \\ &\leq \|x - y\| \\ &= \alpha_1 \|x - y\| + \alpha_2 \|x - y\| \\ &= \|(x,x) - (y,y)\|_\alpha .\end{aligned}$$

However, $\widetilde{T}\big|_D$ is not a self-mapping of D, so much of the usual theory for nonexpansive mappings does not apply. In light of this, define a new mapping $J : C^2 \to C^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned}J(x,y) :&= \widetilde{T}(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y,\ \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y) \\ &= (T(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y),\ T^2(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y)).\end{aligned}$$

Then J is nonexpansive on C^2. Indeed, for any (x, y) and (u, v) in C^2, we know that $(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y,\ \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y)$, $(\alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v,\ \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v) \in D$. Thus, since $\widetilde{T}\big|_D$ is nonexpansive, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|J(x,y) - J(u,v)\|_\alpha &= \left\|\widetilde{T}(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y,\ \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y) - \widetilde{T}(\alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v,\ \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v)\right\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \|(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y,\ \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y) - (\alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v,\ \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v)\|_\alpha \\ &= \|(\alpha_1(x-u) + \alpha_2(y-v),\ \alpha_1(x-u) + \alpha_2(y-v))\|_\alpha \\ &= \alpha_1 \|\alpha_1(x-u) + \alpha_2(y-v)\| + \alpha_2 \|\alpha_1(x-u) + \alpha_2(y-v)\| \\ &= \|\alpha_1(x-u) + \alpha_2(y-v)\| \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \|x-u\| + \alpha_2 \|y-v\| \\ &= \|(x,y) - (u,v)\|_\alpha .\end{aligned}$$

Since C is closed, bounded, and convex in X, it is easy to see that C^2 is closed, bounded, and convex in X^2. Thus, since $J : C^2 \to C^2$ is nonexpansive, we know that it must admit an approximate fixed point sequence $(x_n, y_n)_n$. That is, a sequence for which

$$\|J(x_n, y_n) - (x_n, y_n)\|_\alpha \to_n 0.$$

Examining the last line more closely, we see that

$$\|J(x_n, y_n) - (x_n, y_n)\|_\alpha \to_n 0 \iff \begin{cases} \|T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - x_n\| \to_n 0, & \text{and} \\ \left\|T^2(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - y_n\right\| \to_n 0. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of (1) in the statement of the theorem.

Now let us prove (2). Note that all mean nonexpansive mappings are Lipschitzian with $k(T) \leq \alpha_1^{-1}$, where $k(T)$ denotes the Lipschitz constant of T (indeed, more is true: all mean nonexpansive maps are *uniformly Lipschitzian* [8, Chapter 4]). Then, by (1),

$$\left\|T^2(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - Tx_n\right\| \leq k(T) \|T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - x_n\| \to_n 0.$$

Then for all n we have

$$\|y_n - Tx_n\| - \left\|T^2(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - y_n\right\| \leq \left\|T^2(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - Tx_n\right\|,$$

which then yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \limsup_n \|y_n - Tx_n\| &= \limsup_n \left(\|y_n - Tx_n\| - \left\|T^2(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - y_n\right\|\right) \\ &\leq \limsup_n \left\|T^2(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - Tx_n\right\| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|Tx_n - y_n\| \to_n 0$. To complete the proof of (2), we only need to use the fact that T is Lipschitz (in fact, T only needs to be continuous for this argument to work). For simplicity, let $z_n := \alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 T x_n$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tz_n - x_n\| &\leq \|Tz_n - T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n)\| + \|T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - x_n\| \\ &\leq k(T)\|z_n - (\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n)\| + \|T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - x_n\| \\ &= k(T)\alpha_2 \|Tx_n - y_n\| + \|T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 y_n) - x_n\| \\ &\to_n 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(x_n)_n$ is an approximate fixed point sequence for τ_α, and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

The above theorem holds in more generality. In particular, the same result holds for (α, p)-nonexpansive maps as summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, convex, and $T : C \to C$ is $((\alpha_1, \alpha_2), p)$-nonexpansive for some $p \geq 1$. Then there exist sequences $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ satisfying (1) and (2) from Theorem 3.1. In particular, the sequence $(x_n)_n$ is an approximate fixed point sequence for τ_α.*

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is entirely similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, so we present only the portions which differ.

Proof. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $C \subset X$ closed, bounded, convex, and $T : C \to C$ (α, p)-nonexpansive for some $p \geq 1$. Consider the space $(X^2, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p})$, where

$$\|(x, y)\|_{\alpha,p} := (\alpha_1 \|x\|^p + \alpha_2 \|y\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Now define the functions $\widetilde{T}$ and J just as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, and notice that J is nonexpansive on C^2 since $t \mapsto t^p$ is a convex function for $p \geq 1$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(x, y) - J(u, v)\|_{\alpha,p}^p &= \left\|\widetilde{T}(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y, \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y) - \widetilde{T}(\alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v, \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 v)\right\|_{\alpha,p}^p \\ &\leq \|(\alpha_1(x - u) + \alpha_2(y - v), \alpha_1(x - u) + \alpha_2(y - v))\|_{\alpha,p}^p \\ &= \|\alpha_1(x - u) + \alpha_2(y - v)\|^p \\ &\leq (\alpha_1 \|x - u\| + \alpha_2 \|y - v\|)^p \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \|x - u\|^p + \alpha_2 \|y - v\|^p \\ &= \|(x, y) - (u, v)\|_{\alpha,p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

The remainder of the proof follows as above. □

We have a corollary regarding the form of an approximate fixed point sequence for the mapping T_α.

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose C is closed, bounded, convex and $T : C \to C$ is $((\alpha_1, \alpha_2), p)$-nonexpansive. Then T_α admits an approximate fixed point sequence $(z_n)_n$ of the form*

$$z_n = \alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 T x_n$$

where $(x_n)_n$ is the approximate fixed point sequence for τ_α from Theorem 3.2.

Proof. From Theorem 3.2, we know there is a sequence $(x_n)_n$ satisfying

$$\|T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 T x_n) - x_n\| \to_n 0$$

and, since T is Lipschitz, it is easy to see that this implies

$$\left\|T^2(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 T x_n) - T x_n\right\| \to_n 0.$$

Let $z_n = \alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 T x_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\alpha z_n - z_n\| &= \left\|\alpha_1(T z_n - x_n) + \alpha_2(T^2 z_n - T x_n)\right\| \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \|T z_n - x_n\| + \alpha_2 \left\|T^2 z_n - x_n\right\| \\ &\to_n 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(z_n)_n$ is an approximate fixed point sequence for T_α. □

The theorems above tell us a bit more when the set C^2 has the fixed point property for nonexpansive maps. This occurs whenever, for example, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is uniformly convex since $(X^2, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p})$ is also uniformly convex (when $p > 1$) by a theorem of Clarkson [1]. The proof of the following corollary follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 3.2 when "approximate fixed point sequence" is replaced by "fixed point."

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, and convex is such that $(C^2, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p})$ has the fixed point property for nonexpansive maps. If $T : C \to C$ is $((\alpha_1, \alpha_2), p)$-nonexpansive, then there exist points $x, y \in C$ for which*

$$\begin{cases} T(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y) = x, & \text{and} \\ T^2(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y) = y. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we deduce that

$$\begin{cases} Tx = y, & \text{and} \\ T(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 T x) = x. \end{cases}$$

That is, τ_α has a fixed point.

This leads us immediately to the analogue of Corollary 3.1 above.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has the fixed point property for nonexpansive maps, $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, and convex, and $T : C \to C$ is (α_1, α_2)-nonexpansive. Then $T_\alpha = \alpha_1 T + \alpha_2 T^2$ has at least one fixed point y of the form*

$$y = \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 Tx$$

for some $x \in C$.

The approximate fixed point sequence $(x_n)_n$ for τ_α yields a new proof of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose C is closed, bounded, convex and $T : C \to C$ is (α, p)-nonexpansive for $p \geq 1$. Then T has an approximate fixed point sequence, provided that $\alpha_2^p \leq \alpha_1$. If $(C^2, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p})$ has the fixed point property for nonexpansive maps, then T has a fixed point if $\alpha_2^p \leq \alpha_1$.*

Proof. Suppose $T : C \to C$ is (α, p)-nonexpansive for some $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1^{\frac{1}{p}}$, and let $(x_n)_n$ be the approximate fixed point sequence for τ_α given by Theorem 3.2. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\|\tau_\alpha x_n - x_n\| \to_n 0$, we can find n large enough that

$$\|\tau_\alpha x_n - x_n\| \leq \left(\frac{\alpha_1^{\frac{1}{p}} - \alpha_2}{\alpha_1^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) \varepsilon.$$

For simplicity, let $c := \left(\alpha_1^{\frac{1}{p}} - \alpha_2 \right) \alpha_1^{-\frac{1}{p}} = 1 - \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-\frac{1}{p}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tx_n - x_n\| &= \|Tx_n - \tau_\alpha x_n + \tau_\alpha x_n - x_n\| \\
&\leq \|Tx_n - T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 Tx_n)\| + \|T(\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 Tx_n) - x_n\| \\
&\leq \alpha_1^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|x_n - \alpha_1 x_n - \alpha_2 Tx_n\| + c\varepsilon \\
&= \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|Tx_n - x_n\| + c\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\left(1 - \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \|Tx_n - x_n\| \leq c\varepsilon \iff \|Tx_n - x_n\| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, $(x_n)_n$ is an approximate fixed point sequence for T.

In the case when $(C^2, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p})$ has the fixed point property, taking $\varepsilon = 0$ in the above argument yields the desired result. That is, $Tx = x$, where T is the fixed point of τ_α which is guaranteed to exist by Corollary 3.2. □

4 Results for arbitrary α

Results very similar to the ones above hold for (α, p)-nonexpansive mappings with α of arbitrary length, and the proofs are nearly identical. We state them here for completeness as well as providing pertinent details for adapting the proofs.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, convex, and $T : C \to C$ is (α, p)-nonexpansive for $p \geq 1$ and some $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that each $\alpha_j > 0$ (see the remark which follows the proof for more details). Then there exist sequences*

$(x_m^j)_m$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, *in* C *for which*

$$\begin{cases} \left\| T(\alpha_1 x_m^{(1)} + \alpha_2 x_m^{(2)} + \cdots + \alpha_n x_m^{(n)}) - x_m^{(1)} \right\| \to 0 \\ \left\| T^2(\alpha_1 x_m^{(1)} + \alpha_2 x_m^{(2)} + \cdots + \alpha_n x_m^{(n)}) - x_m^{(2)} \right\| \to 0 \\ \vdots \\ \left\| T^n(\alpha_1 x_m^{(1)} + \alpha_2 x_m^{(2)} + \cdots + \alpha_n x_m^{(n)}) - x_m^{(n)} \right\| \to 0 \end{cases}$$

In particular, we can deduce that

$$\left\| T(\alpha_1 x_m^{(1)} + \alpha_2 T x_m^{(1)} + \cdots + \alpha_n T^{n-1} x_m^{(1)}) - x_m^{(1)} \right\| \to 0.$$

That is, $\tau_\alpha := T \circ (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T + \cdots + \alpha_n T^{n-1})$ *has an approximate fixed point sequence.*

Proof. Just as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we define $\widetilde{T}$, $J : C^n \to C^n$ via

$$\widetilde{T}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) := (Tx_1, T^2 x_2, \ldots, T^n x_n), \quad J(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) := \widetilde{T}(\overline{x}, \overline{x}, \ldots, \overline{x}),$$

where $\overline{x} := \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n$. Just as before, $\widetilde{T}\big|_D$ is nonexpansive in the norm

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\|_{\alpha,p} := (\alpha_1 \|x_1\|^p + \alpha_2 \|x_2\|^p + \cdots + \alpha_n \|x_n\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and $D := \{(x, x, \ldots, x) : x \in C\}$. Since $\overline{x} \in D$, J is also nonexpansive, and since C^n is closed, bounded, and convex, we know that J must have an approximate fixed point sequence, which we will denote $((x_m^{(1)}, x_m^{(2)}, \ldots, x_m^{(n)}))_m$ in C^n. This establishes the first part of the theorem.

To prove that τ_α has an approximate fixed point sequence, we will first denote

$$\overline{x}_m := \alpha_1 x_m^{(1)} + \alpha_2 x_m^{(2)} + \cdots + \alpha_n x_m^{(n)}$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T x_m^{(1)} - x_m^{(2)} \right\| &\le \left\| T x_m^{(1)} - T^2 \overline{x}_m \right\| + \left\| T^2 \overline{x}_m - x_m^{(2)} \right\| \\ &\le k(T) \left\| x_m^{(1)} - T \overline{x}_m \right\| + \left\| T^2 \overline{x}_m - x_m^{(2)} \right\| \\ &\to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $m \to \infty$. Thus, $\left\| T x_m^{(1)} - x_m^{(2)} \right\| \to 0$. Entirely similarly,

$$\left\| T^j x_m^{(1)} - x_m^{(j+1)} \right\| \to 0$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. From this, let

$$z_m := \alpha_1 x_m^{(1)} + \alpha_2 T x_m^{(1)} + \cdots + \alpha_n T^{n-1} x_m^{(1)}$$

(so that $\tau_\alpha x_m^{(1)} = T z_m$) and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tau_\alpha x_m^{(1)} - x_m^{(1)} \right\| &\le \left\| \tau_\alpha x_m^{(1)} - T \overline{x}_m \right\| + \left\| T \overline{x}_m - x_m^{(1)} \right\| \\ &\le k(T) \left\| z_m - \overline{x}_m \right\| + \left\| T \overline{x}_m - x_m^{(1)} \right\| \\ &\le k(T) \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_j \left\| T^{j-1} x_m^{(1)} - x_m^{(j)} \right\| \right) + \left\| T \overline{x}_m - x_m^{(1)} \right\| \\ &\to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $m \to \infty$. Thus, $(x_m^{(1)})_m$ is an approximate fixed point sequence for τ_α, and the proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 4.1. In the event that some of the α_j's are equal to 0, the only problem that arises in the above proof is that

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\|_{\alpha,p} = (\alpha_1 \|x_1\|^p + \alpha_2 \|x_2\|^p + \cdots + \alpha_n \|x_n\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

no longer defines a norm on X^n. To get around this, let $\{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_\nu\} = \{\alpha_j : \alpha_j \neq 0\}$, where $1 = k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_\nu = n$ (recall that $\alpha_1, \alpha_n > 0$ by definition). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\nu)\|_{\alpha,p} :&= (\alpha_{k_1} \|x_1\|^p + \alpha_{k_2} \|x_2\|^p + \cdots + \alpha_{k_\nu} \|x_n\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= (\alpha_1 \|x_1\|^p + \alpha_{k_2} \|x_2\|^p + \cdots + \alpha_n \|x_n\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\end{aligned}$$

does indeed define a norm on X^ν, and

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{T}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\nu) :&= (T^{k_1}x_1,\, T^{k_2}x_2, \ldots,\, T^{k_\nu}x_\nu) \\ &= (Tx_1,\, T^{k_2}x_2, \ldots,\, T^n x_\nu)\end{aligned}$$

is nonexpansive when restricted to the diagonal of C^ν.

Just as in the previous section, the same proof above can be adapted to show the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, and convex is such that $(C^n, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p})$ has the fixed point property for nonexpansive maps. Then if $T : C \to C$ is (α, p)-nonexpansive for some $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ (without loss of generality, each $\alpha_j > 0$), then there exist $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in C$ for which*

$$\begin{cases} T\overline{x} = x_1 \\ T^2\overline{x} = x_2 \\ \quad\vdots \\ T^n\overline{x} = x_n \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{x} := \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n$. In particular, $\tau_\alpha x_1 = x_1$.

Furthermore, analogues of Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3 are readily available.

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, convex, and $T : C \to C$ is (α, p)-nonexpansive for some $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and $p \geq 1$. Then T_α admits an approximate fixed point sequence $(z_n)_n$ of the form*

$$z_n := \alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 T x_n + \cdots + \alpha_n T^{n-1} x_n,$$

where $(x_n)_n$ is the approximate fixed point sequence for τ_α guaranteed by Theorem 4.1. Further, if we suppose that $(C^n, \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,p})$ has the fixed point property for nonexpansive maps, then T_α has a fixed point z of the form

$$z := \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 T x + \cdots + \alpha_n T^{n-1} x,$$

where x is the fixed point of τ_α guaranteed by Theorem 4.2.

Goebel and Japón Pineda proved a version of Theorem 2.1 for mean nonexpansive mappings with arbitrary length multi-index, which was again improved later by Piasecki.

Theorem 4.3 (Goebel and Japón Pineda, Piasecki)**.** *If $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, convex, and $T : C \to C$ is (α, p)-nonexpansive for some $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, then T has an approximate fixed point sequence, provided that*

$$(1 - \alpha_1)\left(1 - \alpha_1^{\frac{n-1}{p}}\right) \leq \alpha_1^{\frac{n-1}{p}}\left(1 - \alpha_1^{\frac{1}{p}}\right).$$

Further, if X has the fixed point property for nonexpansive maps, then T has a fixed point if the above inequality holds.

While our techniques gave an alternate proof of this theorem in the case when $n = 2$, it is not immediately clear that our techniques will give an alternative proof of Theorem 4.3. The techniques that we have used so far yield the result of Theorem 4.3 in the case when $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $p = 1$. Specifically, we can prove that an $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ nonexpansive map T will have an approximate fixed point sequence if

$$1 - 2\alpha_1^2 \leq \alpha_2.$$

This inequality shows that for $\alpha_1 \geq \sqrt{2}/2$, any choice of α_2 and α_3 is valid. Now for the argument. Let $(x_n)_n$ be the approximate fixed point sequence for τ_α guaranteed by Theorem 4.1. Then

$$\|Tx_n - x_n\| \leq \|Tx_n - \tau_\alpha x_n\| + \|\tau_\alpha x_n - x_n\|.$$

Choose n large enough so that $\|\tau_\alpha x_n - x_n\| < \varepsilon$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tx_n - x_n\| &\leq k(T)\left\|x_n - (\alpha_1 x_n + \alpha_2 Tx_n + \alpha_3 T^2 x_n)\right\| + \varepsilon \\
&= k(T)\left\|\alpha_2(x_n - Tx_n) + \alpha_3(x_n - T^2 x_n)\right\| + \varepsilon \\
&\leq k(T)(\alpha_2 \|x_n - Tx_n\| + \alpha_3 \left\|x_n - T^2 x_n\right\|) + \varepsilon \\
&\leq k(T)(\alpha_2 \|x_n - Tx_n\| + \alpha_3(\|x_n - Tx_n\| + k(T)\|x_n - Tx_n\|)) + \varepsilon \\
&\leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2 \|x_n - Tx_n\| + \alpha_3(\|x_n - Tx_n\| + \alpha_1^{-1}\|x_n - Tx_n\|)) + \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) - \alpha_3)\|x_n - Tx_n\| \leq c\varepsilon,$$

Where c is a positive constant. This inequality is only meaningful if $\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) - \alpha_3 \geq 0$. Rewriting $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1 - \alpha_1$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = 1 - \alpha_2$ yields $1 - 2\alpha_1^2 \leq \alpha_2$, as desired. Very similarly to Goebel and Japón Pineda's methods involving T_α, our method presented here relies almost entirely on the triangle inequality and there should be room for improvement.

It should also be noted that Goebel and Japón Pineda were able to improve the lower bound on α_1 in the case when $p = 1$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$.

Theorem 4.4 (Goebel and Japón Pineda)**.** *Suppose $C \subset X$ is closed, bounded, convex and $T : C \to C$ is $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$-nonexpansive with*

$$\alpha_1 \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_1) \leq \alpha_2.$$

Then T has an approximate fixed point sequence.

Our technique only yields $1 - 2\alpha_1^2 \leq \alpha_2$, which implicitly forces $\alpha_1 > 1/2$ (since α_3 cannot be 0). Furthermore, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_1) < 1 - 2\alpha_1^2$$

for α_1 with $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \sqrt{2}/2$. That is, our lower bound for α_2 is worse than Goebel and Japón Pineda's in this special case. Finally, we will state the estimates that our technique yields in the general case. It is not immediately clear (even in the case when $n = 4$) that our estimates are even at least as good as those of Piasecki and Goebel and Japón stated in Theorem 4.3, so we will state them as a remark.

Remark 4.2. Suppose $T : C \to C$ is (α, p)-nonexpansive for some $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and $p \geq 1$. Then T has an approximate fixed point sequence if

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq k(T)\left(\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n}\alpha_j\right) + \left(\sum_{j=3}^{n}\alpha_j\right)k(T) + \left(\sum_{j=4}^{n}\alpha_j\right)k(T^2) + \cdots + \alpha_n k(T^{n-2})\right) \\ &= k(T)\sum_{m=2}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=m}^{n}\alpha_j\right)k(T^{m-2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $k(T^j)$ is the Lipschitz constant of T^j and $T^0 := I$. To see this, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $x \in C$ be a point for which $\|\tau_\alpha x - x\| \leq \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - Tx\| &\leq \|Tx - \tau_\alpha x\| + \|\tau_\alpha x - x\| \\ &\leq k(T)\left\|x - (\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 Tx + \cdots + \alpha_n T^{n-1}x)\right\| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq k(T)\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\alpha_{j+1}\left\|x - T^j x\right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that

$$\left\|x - T^j x\right\| \leq \left\|x - T^{j-1}x\right\| + \left\|T^{j-1}x - T^j x\right\| \leq \left\|x - T^{j-1}x\right\| + k(T^{j-1})\left\|x - Tx\right\|.$$

Iterating this estimate in the above yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - Tx\| &\leq k(T)\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\alpha_{j+1}\left\|x - T^j x\right\| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq k(T)\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n}\alpha_j + k(T)\sum_{j=3}^{n}\alpha_j + \cdots + k(T^{n-2})\alpha_n\right)\|x - Tx\| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left(1 - k(T)\sum_{m=2}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=m}^{n}\alpha_j\right)k(T^{m-2})\right)\|x - Tx\| \leq \varepsilon$$

and hence T will have an approximate fixed point sequence if

$$1 - k(T)\sum_{m=2}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=m}^{n}\alpha_j\right)k(T^{m-2}) \geq 0.$$

5 Questions

There is a natural question underlying this entire study: is τ_α necessarily nonexpansive? If it is, then most of the above results would be greatly simplified, though less interesting. We know that T_α is nonexpansive by a straightforward application of the triangle inequality. However, a priori estimates for τ_α do not have the same promise. If T is nonexpansive, then it is easy to see that τ_α is also nonexpansive, but if T is assumed only to be mean nonexpansive, the routine estimate for its Lipschitz constant is less useful. Indeed, one would naively find that

$$k(\tau_\alpha) = k(T \circ (\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 T)) \leq \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1}) = 1 + \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-2},$$

and $1 + \alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-2}$ clearly exceeds 1. The two examples given above both have nonexpansive τ_α despite the original mapping failing to be nonexpansive.

Finally, we reiterate the question that Goebel and Japón Pineda originally posed: can anything, positive or negative, be said about (α_1, α_2)-nonexpansive mappings for which $\alpha_1 < 1/2$ (more generally, $\alpha_2^p \leq \alpha_1$ for $((\alpha_1, \alpha_2), p)$-nonexpansive mappings or $(1 - \alpha_1)\left(1 - \alpha_1^{\frac{n-1}{p}}\right) \leq \alpha_1^{\frac{n-1}{p}}\left(1 - \alpha_1^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$ for (α, p)-nonexpansive mappings with α of length n [3])? Some partial results in special cases are known; for instance, if T is $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$-nonexpansive with $\alpha_1 \in [1/2, 1/\sqrt{2})$ and $\alpha_2 \geq (1 - \alpha_1)/2$, then T has an approximate fixed point sequence [4]. A few other, more general, facts are also known. For instance, Hilbert spaces have the fixed point property for $((\alpha_1, \alpha_2), 2)$-nonexpansive mappings [3, Corollary 3.7], and all (α, p)-nonexpansive mappings ($p > 1$) defined on a closed, bounded, convex subset of a uniformly convex space are such that $I - T$ is demiclosed at 0 [2]. However, there are no theorems or counterexamples that treat small values of α_1.

References

[1] J.A. Clarkson, "Uniformly convex spaces." Trans. of the A.M.S., 40 (1936), 396-414.

[2] T.M. Gallagher, "The demiclosedness principle for mean nonexpansive mappings." Journal of Math. Analysis & Appl., 439 (2016), 832-842.

[3] V.P. García and Ł. Piasecki, "On mean nonexpansive mappings and the Lifshitz constant." Journal of Math. Analysis & Appl., 396 (2012), 448-454.

[4] K. Goebel and M. Japon Pineda, "A new type of nonexpansiveness." Proc. of 8-th int'l conference on fixed point theory and appl., Chiang Mai (2007).

[5] K. Goebel and B. Sims, "Mean lipschitzian mappings." Contemporary Mathematics, 513 (2010), 157-167.

[6] K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk, *Topics in metric fixed point theory.* Cambridge University Press (1990).

[7] W.A. Kirk and B. Sims, eds. *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory.* Kluwer Academic Publishers (2001).

[8] Ł. Piasecki, *Classification of Lipschitz Mappings.* CRC Press (2013).